

From: [Meg Jennings](#)
To: [Aquind Interconnector](#)
Subject: Rejection of the Aquind interconnector
Date: 19 June 2023 22:49:10

Dear planning team,

I once again write to express my concerns and ask that you reject plans for the Aquind interconnector.

I have previously written about the detrimental impact this project would have on the environment in Portsmouth (one of the most densely populated city's in the uk).?The people of Portsmouth and beyond do not want the interconnector running through our city.

Further more, we know that the French refused the project in 2021 and the refusal is still valid. If there is no landfall in France why would you give permission to build in UK?

There are sufficient interconnectors existing or planned. They add up to 19.5 GW when the government in their paper asked for 18 GW by 2030.

I believe, the harm outweighs the benefits; the damage to our local environment, traffic chaos, air pollution, endangering wildlife etc.

There was a feasibility study by NGET in 2014 to find a connection point into the grid. This feasibility study has not been seen by anyone but Aquind. The Judge during the High Court Judicial Review and the Secretary of State had asked for access. This is an out- dated study now, 9 years later and why has nobody else had access to it?

Should the minutes of any meeting between Aquind Ltd, NGET and any other party involved in this have been made available? Indeed , shouldn't this out-dated connection decision have been revisited?

Please consider the points raised and stop this from happening.

Many thanks,

Meg Palamidas

Portsmouth resident